Approved For Release 2007/02/07: CIA-RDP75B00380R000600190047-9

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

OLC 74-0836

30 April 1974

Honorable John L. McClellan, Chairman Intelligence Operations Subcommittee Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter responds to your request for comments on the possible impact upon the operations of this Agency of S. 2543 which amends the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 522).

Presently, this Agency's records are for the most part not available for public inspection because the Act exempts, among other things, matters that are:

- "(b)(l) specifically required by Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy;
 - ___
 - (3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute;"

Although S. 2543 retains these general exemptions, it adds a new provision which would permit an <u>in camera</u> court review of any or all records to determine whether they shall be subject to public inspection. This provision appears to be designed to overrule a Supreme Court decision that the contents of records withheld under exemption (b)(1) are not reviewable by the courts (Environmental Protection Agency v. Mink, 93 S. Ct. 827 (1973)).

The National Security Act of 1947 provides:

"... That the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure;" (Sec. 102(d)(3)) This language is designed to protect the lives and welfare of sources of sensitive foreign intelligence information and to protect against the compromise of technical collection efforts.

I do not believe that the nation's interest would best be served by legislation which would make it possible for the most sensitive of Agency records to be subject to court review as a result of a suit by an individual, who under the statute may not even be a U.S. citizen, for their public inspection. It is recommended that information which is made inherently sensitive by statute be exempted from the court review provisions of S. 2543. Suggested language accomplishing this for three categories of sensitive information recognized by statute (Intelligence Sources and Methods, Communications Intelligence and Restricted Data) is enclosed.

If S. 2543 or similar legislation is favorably considered, it is hoped that you would be able to support appropriate exemption for this Agency as proposed.

Sincerely,

/s/

W. E. Colby Director

Enclosure

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

% - OLC - FOI file

1 - OLC - file

1 - OLC Chrono

25X1

Approved For Release 2007/02/07: CIA-RDP75B00380R000600190047-9

AMENDMENT TO S. 2543 (Committee Print, January 29, 1974)

The added language is underlined and would be inserted at line 16, page 3:

"(B) On complaint, the district court of the United States in the district in which the complainant resides, or has his principal place of business, or in which the agency records are situated, or in the District of Columbia, has jurisdiction to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant. In such a case the court shall consider the case de novo, with, except for matters withheld under section 552(b)(3), involving, but not limited to, Restricted Data, intelligence sources and methods, and communication intelligence under sections 2162 of Title 42, 403(d)(3) and 403g of Title 50, 798 of Title 18 and 73 Stat. 64, such in camera examination of the requested records as it finds appropriate to determine whether such records or any part thereof may be withheld under any of the exemptions set forth in subsection (b) of this section, and the burden is on the agency to sustain its action.

	ROUTING	AND	RECORI	SHEET	
UBJECT: (Optional)					
ROM:	<u> </u>		EXTENSION	NO.	
Legislative Counsel			DATE 29 April 1974	STA	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
Director		4/29	ucc)	We have prepared the	
2.				attached letter to Senator McClellan in the event its use	
3.				appears desirable during your absence.	
4.				ST	TINT
5.				George L. Cary	
5.				Legislative Counsel	
7.					
8.					
9.					
D					
1,					•
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
Approved Fo	or Release	2007/02/	07 : CIA-F	RDP75B00380R000600190047-9	